Date Submitted

## Arizona Western College Institutional Review Board

				_	
Fil	e	N	m	nh	er

## **Continuing Review Questionnaire**

Principal Investigato	or:	Project Title:					
less than sufficient Therefore grant con continuir	once per year. In order to co information must be collected e, in order for the Arizona Wes	mply with to allow the tern Colleg col, the foll	his policy on research e IRB to conduct a "so e IRB to comply with owing information/do	ubstantive and meaningful" review. this and other directives and to cuments are required: <i>a completed</i>			
If a qu	estion does not apply to your p	rotocol, so	indicate (e.g., "Not A	pplicable" or "N/A").			
I. Briefly	summarize the study objective	es and proce	edures: (attach addition	nal pages if required)			
II. Dates	covered by this progress report	t: Previo	ous 12 months	Other period as described:			
III. Proje	ct Summary						
A. L	eadership: have there been an	y changes i	n leadership, responsil	bility, or major personnel?			
	Yes N	Го 🗌					
If	Yes, then fully describe:						
В. О	bjectives: have there been any	changes?					
	Yes N	lo 🗌					
If	Yes, then fully describe:						
C. P	C. <b>Procedures:</b> have there been any changes?						
	Yes N	lo 🗌					
If	Yes, then fully describe:						

D. Informed consent documents: have there been any changes?								
7	Yes							
If Yes, then fully describe:								
Research subje	ects:							
			including cont	rol groups, on sepa	rate line	s. If only one		
	(at all sites for which you		(at all sites f	(at all sites for which you are		GENDER (of subjects to date)		
Group	This Period	This Next Period T		/		% Female		
<ul> <li>2. Was the subject population representative of the population base from which subjects could be selected with respect to:</li> <li>a. Gender representation? Yes No</li> <li>If No, explain:</li> </ul>								
b. Minority representation? Yes No No I								
Ye	es 🗌	wn from study s No 🗌	ince the study	began?				
	Research subjects  1. List each grand group, descriptions  Group  2. Was the subselected with a. Gender subselected with a subselected wi	Research subjects:  1. List each group, cohort, etc group, description would be a subject population selected with respect to:  a. Gender representation of the subject population selected with respect to:  b. Minority representation of the subject population selected with respect to:  b. Minority representation of the subject population selected with respect to:	Research subjects:  1. List each group, cohort, etc., if applicable, group, description would be "All."    NUMBER OF SUBJECTS (at all sites for which you are the PI)	Research subjects:  1. List each group, cohort, etc., if applicable, including cont group, description would be "All."    NUMBER OF SUBJECTS (at all sites for which you are the PI)	Research subjects:  1. List each group, cohort, etc., if applicable, including control groups, on sepa group, description would be "All."    NUMBER OF SUBJECTS   AGE RANGE OF SUBJECTS (at all sites for which you are the PI)	Research subjects:  1. List each group, cohort, etc., if applicable, including control groups, on separate line group, description would be "All."    NUMBER OF SUBJECTS		

Arizona Western College IRB Continuing Review Questionnaire Page 3

	4.	Are you aware of any breach in confidentiality? (e.g., unauthorized access to records)								
		Yes No No								
	If	Yes, describe:								
F.	Un	nexpected problems:								
	1.	Have there been any <b>unexpected</b> problems?								
		Yes No No N/A								
		<b>If Yes</b> , please summarize these unexpected problems, the number of occurrences, and indicate if they required consent document changes, particularly in the "risks" section. If risks are affected, describe how they are minimized and reasonable in relation to expected benefits. If available, attach copies of data safety monitoring reports.								
G.		oposed Revisions/Amendments/Modifications:  Are there revisions/amendments to the protocol, consent form(s), questionnaires, etc. that are								
	1.	included with this renewal?								
		Yes No No								
		<b>If Yes</b> , provide a brief description below and highlight the changes on the document(s) to be reviewed.								
	2.	Will the revisions/amendments change the scope or research objectives of the protocol? Following are examples of actions considered to change the scope or research objectives: A change in the specific aims approved at the time of award (funding); a change from the previously approved use of human subjects; shifting the emphasis of the research from one disease to another.  Yes No N/A								
		<b>If Yes</b> , provide sufficient information/documentation to allow the IRB to review and approve prior to initiation.								

Arizona Western College IRB Continuing Review Questionnaire Page 4

3.	3. Will the revisions/amendments change risks to subjects?						
	Ye	s 🗌	No 🗌	N/A			
	-	ation. In part			the IRB to review and approve zed and reasonable in relation		
				e a listing of all pul review. If none, so	blications, presentations and restate.	ports	
will subminecessary prior IRB the IRB no understand necessary	it any propose to eliminate a approval; tha o less than and ding and reco	ed procedural apparent immost unless other nually; that the mmendations	modifications to the diate hazards, no wise directed by the research project that the IRB is project.	he IRB for its revie such modifications ne IRB Chairpersor is being conducted covided all the information	ting any emergent problems; the wand approval and, except whe will be put into effect without a, I will renew this application of the compliance with the IRB's rmation on the research project e put into effect until final IRB	here with	
Signature	of Principal I	nvestigator		_	Date		
Signature	of Faculty Ac	lvisor (if stud	ent)	_	Date		
Signature o	of IRB Committ	tee Chair:			Date:		
IRB Chair: 0	Check 1 box:	Approved [	Approved with Con	ditions Refer to	Full Committee Review		